

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/708,168

Applicant(s)

NGUYEN ET AL.

Examiner

Arthur O. Hall

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arthur O. Hall; John Hotaling.

(3) Justin White.

(2) Craig Paulsen.

(4) Keith Moore.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-63.

Identification of prior art discussed: US2003/0139190 (Steelberg et al.); US6,264,557 (Schneier et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JOHN M. HOTALING, II  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed prior art related to the Steelberg reference with regard to the second mode of communication occurring during or immediately prior to the player wager, which occurs concurrently with the gaming event via the first mode of communication, as well as, in relation to the Schneier reference, the repetition of obtaining a biometric of the player including visual images of the face or body of a player or other biometrics via the second mode of communication; Applicant shall provide amendments to clarify the above features with respect to the prior art.